

Measurable Cardinals

John L. Bell

Let κ be an infinite cardinal. A κ -complete nonprincipal ultrafilter, or, for short, a κ -ultrafilter on a set A is a (nonempty) family U of subsets of A satisfying (i) $S \subseteq U$ & $|S|^1 < \kappa \Rightarrow \bigcap S \in U$ (κ -completeness) (ii) $X \in U$ & $X \subseteq Y \subseteq A \Rightarrow Y \in U$, (iii) $\forall X \subseteq A [X \in U \text{ or } A - X \in U]$ (iv) $\{a\} \notin U$ for any $a \in A$. Notice that, if these conditions are satisfied, then every member of U has cardinality $\geq \kappa$, U contains the complement of every subset of A of cardinality $< \kappa$, and, for any $S \subseteq \mathbf{P}A^2$, if $|S| < \kappa$ and $\bigcup S \in U$, then $S \cap U \neq \emptyset$.

The cardinal κ is said to be *measurable* if $\kappa > \aleph_0$ and there exists a κ -ultrafilter on any set of cardinality κ , or, equivalently, on κ itself. Henceforth, we fix a measurable cardinal κ and a κ -ultrafilter U on κ .

Now let $\mathfrak{B} = \langle V, \in \rangle$ be the universe of sets and write V^κ for the collection of all functions with domain κ . Define the equivalence relation \sim on V^κ by stipulating, for $f, g \in V^\kappa$, that

$$f \sim g \Leftrightarrow \{\xi < \kappa : f(\xi) = g(\xi)\} \in U.$$

For each $f \in V^\kappa$ let σ_f be the least rank of an element $g \in V^\kappa$ for which $f \sim g$ and define

$$f/U = \{g \in V^\kappa : \text{rank}(g) = \sigma_f \text{ \& } f \sim g\}.$$

Evidently $f/U = g/U \Leftrightarrow f \sim g$. Now define

$$V^\kappa / U = \{f/U : f \in V^\kappa\}.$$

And define the relation E on V^κ / U by

$$(f/U)E(g/U) \Leftrightarrow \{\xi < \kappa : f(\xi) \in g(\xi)\} \in U.$$

(Observe that

$$(f/U)E(g/U) \Rightarrow f/U \in \{h/U : h \in (\bigcup \text{range}(g))^\kappa\}$$

so that $\{f/U : (f/U)E(g/U)\}$ is a set.)

The structure

$$\mathfrak{B}^\kappa / U = \langle V^\kappa / U, E \rangle$$

is then the *ultrapower* of \mathfrak{B} over U . This being the case, we have

Loś's Theorem for \mathfrak{B}^κ / U . Let $\varphi(v_0, \dots, v_n)$ be a formula of the language of set theory and let $f_0, \dots, f_n \in V^\kappa$. Then we have

$$\mathfrak{B}^\kappa / U \models \varphi(f_0/U, \dots, f_n/U) \Leftrightarrow \{\xi < \kappa : \varphi(f_0(\xi), \dots, f_n(\xi))\} \in U. \blacksquare$$

¹ We write $|X|$ for the cardinality of a set X .

² For any set X , $\mathbf{P}X$ denotes the power set of X .

For each $x \in V$, let \hat{x} be the map on κ with constant value κ , and define $d: V \rightarrow V^\kappa/U$ by

$$d(x) = \hat{x}/U.$$

Putting \hat{x}_i for f_i in Łoś's theorem, we get

$$\mathfrak{B}^\kappa/U \models \varphi(d(x_0), \dots, d(x_n)) \Leftrightarrow \varphi(x_0, \dots, x_n).$$

Thus d is an elementary embedding of \mathfrak{B} into \mathfrak{B}^κ/U . In particular

$$\mathfrak{B}^\kappa/U \models \mathbf{ZFC}.$$

Next, we show that E is well-founded on V^κ/U . For suppose that f_0, f_1, \dots is a sequence of members of V^κ such that $(f_{n+1}/U)E(f_n/U)$ for all $n \in \omega$. Then

$$X_n = \{\xi < \kappa: f_{n+1}(\xi) \in f_n(\xi)\} \in U$$

for all n , so that $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} X_n \in U$ by κ -completeness of U . In particular $U \neq \emptyset$, so

we may choose $\xi_0 \in \bigcap_{n \in \omega} X_n$. In that case $f_{n+1}(\xi_0) \in f_n(\xi_0)$ for all n ,

contradicting the well-foundedness of \in . Therefore E is well-founded.

Accordingly \mathfrak{B}^κ/U is isomorphic to a (unique) transitive \in -structure

$$\mathfrak{M} = \langle M, \in \rangle,$$

where the isomorphism e is given by

$$e(f/U) = \{e(g/U): (g/U)E(f/U)\}.$$

Since d is an elementary embedding of \mathfrak{B} into \mathfrak{B}^κ/U , the composite

$$j = e \circ d$$

is an elementary embedding of \mathfrak{B} into \mathfrak{M} .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{B} & \xrightarrow{d} & \mathfrak{B}^\kappa/U \\ & \searrow j & \downarrow e \\ & & \mathfrak{M} \end{array}$$

We now note the following fact: for $\alpha < \kappa$, we have

$$(*) \quad (f/U)Ed(\alpha) \Leftrightarrow \exists \beta < \alpha [f/U = d(\beta)].$$

For

$$\begin{aligned} (f/U)Ed(\alpha) &\Leftrightarrow \{\xi < \kappa: f(\xi) \in \alpha\} \in U \\ &\Leftrightarrow \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \{\xi: f(\xi) = \beta\} \in U \\ &\Leftrightarrow (\exists \beta < \alpha) \{\xi: f(\xi) = \beta\} \in U \\ &\Leftrightarrow \exists \beta < \alpha [f/U = d(\beta)]. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 1. $j(\xi) = \xi$ for all $\xi < \kappa$.

Proof. By induction on ξ . Suppose $\xi < \kappa$ and $(\forall \eta < \xi) j(\eta) = \eta$. Then

$j(\xi) = e(d(\xi)) = \{e(f/U): (f/U)Ed(\xi)\} = \{e(d(\eta)): \eta < \xi\}$ (by $(*)$) = $\{j(\eta): \eta < \xi\} = \xi$. ■
Now let ORD be the class of all ordinals.

Lemma 2.

(i) $j \upharpoonright \text{ORD}$ is an order preserving map of ORD into itself.

(ii) $\alpha \leq j\alpha$ for all α .

(iii) $\text{ORD} \subseteq M$.

Proof. (i) If $\alpha \in \text{ORD}$, then since j is elementary,

$$\mathfrak{M} \models j\alpha \text{ is an ordinal,}$$

so $j\alpha$ really is an ordinal. Similarly, $\alpha < \beta \Rightarrow \mathfrak{M} \models j\alpha < j\beta \Rightarrow j\alpha < j\beta$.

(ii) follows immediately from (i).

(iii) We have $\alpha \leq j\alpha \in M$, so $\alpha \in M$ by transitivity of M . ■

Lemma 3. $\kappa < j\kappa$.

Proof. Let id be the identity map on κ . By Łoś's theorem, we have

$$\mathfrak{Q}^\kappa/U \models \text{id}/U \text{ is an ordinal,}$$

whence

$$\mathfrak{M} \models e(\text{id}/U) \text{ is an ordinal,}$$

And therefore $\alpha = e(\text{id}/U)$ actually is an ordinal. If $\xi < \kappa$, then

$$\{\eta < \kappa: \hat{\xi}(\eta) < \text{id}(\eta)\} \in U,$$

so that $d(\xi)E(\text{id}/U)$, whence $\xi = j\xi < \alpha$. Hence $\kappa \leq \alpha$. On the other hand, we have

$$\{\eta < \kappa: \text{id}(\eta) < \hat{\kappa}(\eta)\} \in U,$$

so that by Łoś's theorem $(\text{id}/U)Ed(\kappa)$, whence $\alpha < j\kappa$. The result follows. ■

We have therefore proved

Theorem 1. Let $\kappa > \aleph_0$ be a measurable cardinal. Then there is an elementary embedding j of the universe of sets \mathfrak{B} into a transitive \in -structure $\mathfrak{M} = \langle M, \in \rangle$ (containing ORD) such that $j\kappa > \kappa$ and $j\xi = \xi$ for all $\xi < \kappa$. ■

The converse of this result also holds.

Theorem 2. Suppose that $\kappa > \aleph_0$ and there is an elementary embedding j of \mathfrak{B} into a transitive \in -structure $\mathfrak{M} = \langle M, \in \rangle$ such that $j\kappa > \kappa$ and $j\xi = \xi$ for all $\xi < \kappa$. Then κ is measurable. In fact, the set

$$U_j = \{x \subseteq \kappa: \kappa \in jx\}$$

is a κ -ultrafilter on κ .

Proof. (i) U_j is “ultra”. If $x \subseteq \kappa$, then since j is elementary and M is transitive, we have: $j(\kappa - x) = j\kappa - jx$. Thus since $\kappa \in j$, either $\kappa \in jx$ or $\kappa \in j(\kappa - x)$. Hence $x \in U_j$ or $\kappa - x \in U_j$.

(ii) $x \in U_j$ & $x \subseteq y \subseteq \kappa \Rightarrow y \in U_j$. Similar to **(i)**.

(iii) U_j is nonprincipal. If $\xi < \kappa$, then

$$\forall \eta < \kappa [\eta \in \{\xi\} \Leftrightarrow \eta = \xi],$$

so

$$\forall \eta < j\kappa [\eta \in j\{\xi\} \Leftrightarrow \eta = j\xi = \xi].$$

Hence $j\{\xi\} = \{\xi\}$ and consequently $\{\xi\} \notin U_j$.

(iv) U_j is κ -complete. Suppose $\alpha < \kappa$ and $g: \alpha \rightarrow U_j$. We want to show that $\bigcap_{\xi < \alpha} g(\xi) \in U_j$. For this it suffices to establish

$$(*) \quad j\left(\bigcap_{\xi < \alpha} g(\xi)\right) = \bigcap_{\xi < \alpha} j(g(\xi)).$$

Writing $b = \bigcap_{\xi < \alpha} g(\xi)$, we have

$$\forall \eta [\eta \in b \Leftrightarrow (\forall \xi < \alpha) \eta \in g(\xi)],$$

so

$$\forall \eta [\eta \in jb \Leftrightarrow (\forall \xi < \alpha) \eta \in (jg)(\xi)].$$

Since $j\xi = \xi$, we have $(jg)(\xi) = (jg)(j\xi) = j(g(\xi))$, so that

$$\forall \eta [\eta \in jb \Leftrightarrow (\forall \xi < \alpha) \eta \in j(g(\xi))],$$

which is (*). ■

Theorem 3. If κ is measurable, it is inaccessible.

Proof. Suppose κ measurable, and fix j, \mathfrak{M} to satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1.

Were κ singular, there would exist $\alpha < \kappa$ and a map $f: \alpha \rightarrow \kappa$ with $\text{range}(f)$ cofinal in κ . In that case

$$\mathfrak{M} \models jf: \alpha \rightarrow j\kappa,$$

and since $\forall \xi < \kappa \exists \eta < \alpha (\xi \leq f(\eta))$,

$$\mathfrak{M} \models \forall \xi < j\kappa \exists \eta < \alpha (\xi \leq (jf)(\eta)).$$

Since $\kappa < j\kappa$, there is accordingly $\eta < \alpha$ for which $\kappa \leq (jf)(\eta)$. But $f(\eta) < \kappa$, so

$$(jf)(\eta) = (jf)(j\eta) = j(f(\eta)) = f(\eta) < \kappa.$$

Contradiction. So κ is regular.

Suppose now that $\alpha < \kappa$ and $\kappa \leq 2^\alpha$. Let f be an injection of κ into $\mathbf{P}\alpha$; then jf is an injection of $j\kappa$ into $\mathbf{P}\alpha$. We show that:

(i) $x = jx$ for all $x \subseteq \alpha$. For

$$\xi \in x \Rightarrow \xi = j\xi \in jx$$

and

$$\xi \in jx \Rightarrow \xi \in jx \subseteq j\alpha = \alpha \Rightarrow j\xi = \xi \in jx \Rightarrow \xi \in x.$$

(ii) $\text{range}(jf) \subseteq \text{range}(f)$. For if $x \in \text{range}(jf)$, then $x \subseteq \alpha$ and $x = jx$.

Also $\mathfrak{M} \models \exists \xi [\langle \xi, jx \rangle \in jf]$, so that $\exists \xi [\langle \xi, x \rangle \in f]$, whence $\exists \xi [f\xi = x]$, and so $x \in \text{range}(f)$.

Finally, if $\xi < \kappa$, then, using **(i)**,

$$(jf)(\xi) = (jf)(j\xi) = j(f(\xi)) = f(\xi).$$

From this it follows, using the injectivity of jf , that $(jf)(\kappa) \notin \text{range}(f)$, contradicting **(ii)**. ■

A κ -ultrafilter U on a measurable cardinal κ is *normal* if whenever $f \in \kappa^\kappa$ satisfies then there is $\alpha < \kappa$ for which

$$\{\xi < \kappa : f(\xi) = \alpha\} \in U.$$

Łoś's theorem immediately yields

Lemma 4. U is normal iff $e(\text{id}/U) = \kappa$. ■

Lemma 5. If κ is measurable, there is a normal ultrafilter on κ .

Proof. Let j, \mathfrak{M} satisfy the conditions of Thm. 2 We show that U_j , as defined in the proof of that theorem, is a normal ultrafilter on κ .

Suppose $f \in \kappa^\kappa$ and

$$A = \{\xi < \kappa : f(\xi) < \xi\} \in U_j.$$

Then $A \in jA$. Also, we have

$$\forall \xi < \kappa [\xi \in A \Leftrightarrow f(\xi) < \xi],$$

and so

$$\forall \xi < j\kappa [\xi \in jA \Leftrightarrow (jf)(\xi) < \xi].$$

Since $\kappa < j\kappa$ and $\kappa \in jA$, it follows that $(jf)(\kappa) < \kappa$. Hence there is $\beta < \kappa$ for which

$$(*) \quad (jf)(\kappa) = \beta.$$

Putting $B = \{\xi < \kappa : f(\xi) = \beta\}$, then, as before, since $j\beta = \beta$, we have

$$\forall \xi < j\kappa [\xi \in jB \Leftrightarrow (jf)(\xi) = \beta];$$

hence, by (*), $\kappa \in jB$, so $B \in U_j$. ■

Lemma 6. Let U be a normal ultrafilter on the measurable cardinal κ , and let \mathfrak{M} be the transitive \in -structure isomorphic to \mathfrak{B}^κ/U . Then, for any formula $\varphi(v_0)$ of the language of set theory,

$$\mathfrak{M} \models \varphi[\kappa] \Leftrightarrow \{\xi < \kappa : \varphi(\xi)\} \in U.$$

Proof. By Lemma 4 and Łoś's theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{M} \models \varphi[\kappa] & \\ \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{M} \models \varphi[e(\text{id}/U)] & \\ \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{B}^\kappa/U \models \varphi[\text{id}/U] & \\ \Leftrightarrow \{\xi < \kappa : \varphi(\text{id}(\xi))\} \in U & \\ \Leftrightarrow \{\xi < \kappa : \varphi(\xi)\} \in U. & \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4. If κ is measurable and U is a normal ultrafilter on κ , then

$$\{\xi < \kappa: \xi \text{ is inaccessible}\} \in U.$$

Proof. Write $In(\xi)$ for “ ξ is inaccessible”. We know that $In(\kappa)$ by Theorem 3, so $\mathfrak{M} \models In[\kappa]$. The result now follows from Lemma 6. ■

Lemma 7. Let κ be measurable, U a normal ultrafilter on κ , and let $\mathfrak{M} = \langle M, \in \rangle$ be the transitive \in -structure isomorphic to \mathfrak{B}^κ/U . Then

$$\mathbf{P}_\kappa \in M.$$

Proof. It is enough to show that $\mathbf{P}_\kappa \subseteq M$. For then, since $\mathfrak{M} \models \mathbf{ZFC}$, we have $\mathbf{P}^{(\mathfrak{M})}_\kappa \in M$ and $\mathbf{P}^{(\mathfrak{M})}_\kappa = \mathbf{P}_\kappa \cap M = \mathbf{P}_\kappa$.

As before, we let $d : \mathfrak{B}^\kappa \prec \mathfrak{B}^\kappa/U$ and $e : \mathfrak{B}^\kappa/U \cong \mathfrak{M}$. Let $a \in \mathbf{P}_\kappa$; we show that $a \in M$. To do this, we define $f \in V^*$ by

$$f(\xi) = a \cap \xi$$

for $\xi < \kappa$, and prove that

$$a = e(f/U) \in M.$$

To do *this* it suffices to show:

$$(*) \quad (g/U)E(f/U) \Leftrightarrow \exists \alpha \in a [g/U = d(\alpha)].$$

One direction is easy: indeed if $\alpha \in a$, then

$$\{\xi < \kappa: \hat{\alpha}(\xi) \in f(\xi)\} \in U,$$

whence

$$d(\alpha) = \hat{\alpha}/U \ E \ f/U.$$

Conversely, suppose that $(g/U)E(f/U)$. Then

$$(\S) \quad \{\xi: g(\xi) \in a \cap \xi\} \in U,$$

so that

$$\{\xi: g(\xi) < \xi\} \in U.$$

Since U is normal, there is $\alpha < \kappa$ such that

$$(\S\S) \quad \{\xi: g(\xi) = \alpha\} \in U,$$

whence

$$g/U = d(\alpha).$$

But

$$\{\xi: g(\xi) \in a\} \cap \{\xi: g(\xi) = \alpha\} \subseteq \{\xi: \alpha \in a\},$$

and, by (\S) and $(\S\S)$, the intersection on the left of “ \subseteq ” is a member of U . So therefore is the set on the right, which means that $\alpha \in a$. This proves $(*)$, and the Lemma. ■

Theorem 5. Let κ be measurable, and let U be a normal ultrafilter on κ . If

$$\{\lambda < \kappa: 2^\lambda = \lambda^+\} \in U,$$

then

$$2^\kappa = \kappa^+.$$

Thus, if $2^\kappa > \kappa^+$, then

$$|\{\lambda < \kappa: 2^\lambda > \lambda^+\}| = \kappa.$$

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{M} = \langle M, \in \rangle$ be the transitive \in -structure isomorphic to \mathfrak{B}^κ/U . Suppose now that

$$\{\lambda < \kappa: 2^\lambda = \lambda^+\} \in U.$$

Then by Lemma 6,

$$\mathfrak{M} \models 2^\kappa = \kappa^+.$$

That is,

$$\mathfrak{M} \models \exists f [f: \kappa^+ \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} \mathbf{P}_\kappa],$$

and hence

$$(*) \quad \exists f [f: (\kappa^+)^{\mathfrak{M}} \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} \mathbf{P}^{\mathfrak{M}}_\kappa],$$

Now, by Lemma 7, $\mathbf{P}_\kappa \in M$, so $\mathbf{P}^{\mathfrak{M}}_\kappa = \mathbf{P}_\kappa$. Therefore, by (*)

$$(**) \quad |\mathbf{P}_\kappa| \leq |(\kappa^+)^{\mathfrak{M}}|.$$

But clearly $(\kappa^+)^{\mathfrak{M}} \leq \kappa^+$, so $|(\kappa^+)^{\mathfrak{M}}| \leq \kappa^+$, and hence, by (**), $|\mathbf{P}_\kappa| \leq \kappa^+$. Therefore $2^\kappa = \kappa^+$. ■

Theorem 6. (Scott) If there is a measurable cardinal, then $V \neq L$.

Proof. Suppose that a measurable cardinal exists and let κ_0 be the least one. Let U be a κ -ultrafilter on κ , let $\mathfrak{M} = \langle M, \in \rangle$ be the transitive \in -structure isomorphic to \mathfrak{B}^κ/U , and let $j: \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}^\kappa/U$ be the associated elementary embedding. If $V = L$, then then $M = V$ since \mathfrak{M} is a transitive model of **ZFC** containing ORD. (Accordingly j is an elementary self-embedding of \mathfrak{B} .) Let $\varphi(x)$ be the formula expressing: x is the least measurable cardinal. Then we have $\varphi(\kappa_0)$, so that

$$\mathfrak{M} \models \varphi[j\kappa_0].$$

Since $M = V$, it follows that $\varphi(j\kappa_0)$. Therefore $j\kappa_0$ would itself be the least measurable cardinal, contradicting the fact (Lemma 3) that $\kappa_0 < j\kappa_0$. ■

Corollary. If κ is measurable and U is a κ -ultrafilter on κ , then $U \notin L$.

Proof. Suppose $U \in L$. Then, since $\kappa \in L$,

$$\langle L, \in \rangle \models \kappa \text{ is measurable}.$$

But, by Theorem 6,

$$\mathbf{ZFC} \vdash \exists \kappa (\kappa \text{ is measurable}) \rightarrow V \neq L.$$

Since $\langle L, \in \rangle \models \mathbf{ZFC}$, it follows that

$$\langle L, \in \rangle \models V \neq L,$$

a contradiction. ■

For each set X write $\mathbf{Fin}(X)$ for the collection of all finite subsets of X , and, for each $n \in \omega$, $X^{[n]}$ for the collection of all n -element subsets of X .

A cardinal κ is a *Ramsey* cardinal if for each set I with $|I| < \kappa$ and each $f: \mathbf{Fin}(\kappa) \rightarrow I$ there is a subset $Z \subseteq \kappa$ such that $|Z| = \kappa$ and $|f[Z^{[n]}]| = 1$ for all $n \in \omega$. Under these conditions Z is said to be *homogeneous* for f . Equivalently κ is Ramsey if for each partition $\{C_i: i \in I\}$ of $\mathbf{Fin}(\kappa)$ with $|I| < \kappa$ there is a subset $Z \subseteq \kappa$ with $|Z| = \kappa$ and a sequence $i_1, i_2, \dots \in I$ such that $Z^{[n]} \subseteq C_{i_n}$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$.

Theorem 8. Each measurable cardinal is Ramsey.

Proof. Let $\kappa > \aleph_0$ be measurable and let U be a κ -ultrafilter over κ . Now suppose $|I| < \kappa$, $f: \mathbf{Fin}(\kappa) \rightarrow I$, and $X \in \kappa^{[n]}$. Define

$$A_i = \{y: f(X \cup \{y\}) = i\}$$

for each $i \in I$. Then $\{A_i: i \in I\}$ forms a partition of κ and consequently exactly one of the A_i is in U . We put

$$f^*(X) = \text{that } i \text{ for which } A_i \in U.$$

Thus $f^*: \mathbf{Fin}(\kappa) \rightarrow I$. We now define $f_0 = f$, $f_1 = f_0^*$, ..., $f_{n+1} = f_n^*$, Let

$$S = \{Z \subseteq \kappa: \forall n \forall X [X \subseteq Z \wedge |X| = n \Rightarrow \forall m [f_m(X) = f_{m+n}(\emptyset)]\}.$$

It is easy to see that S is closed under unions of chains, so it has a maximal member Z_0 . It is clear that each member of S is homogeneous for f , so to prove the Theorem it suffices to show that $|Z_0| = \kappa$.

Suppose on the contrary that $|Z_0| < \kappa$. We will show that Z_0 can be extended to a larger member of S , contradicting the former's maximality.

Let $X \in \mathbf{Fin}(\kappa)$ and $|X| = n - 1$. Then for $m \in \omega$ we have

$$f_m^*(X) = f_{m+1}(X) = f_{m+n}(\emptyset),$$

and so, by definition of f_m^* ,

$$D(X, m) = \{y: f_m(X \cup \{y\}) = f_{m+n}(\emptyset)\} \in U.$$

Hence

$$D(X) = \bigcap_{m \in \omega} D(X, m) \in U,$$

and, since $|Z_0| < \kappa$,

$$D_{Z_0} = \bigcap_{X \in \mathbf{Fin}(\kappa)} D(X) \in U.$$

Clearly, if $y \in D_{Z_0}$, $X \subseteq Z_0$ and $|X| = n - 1$, we have for all $m \in \omega$

$$f_m(X \cup \{y\}) = f_{m+n}(\emptyset),$$

so that $Z_0 \cup \{y\} \in S$ for all $y \in D_{Z_0}$. Since $D_{Z_0} \in U$, $|D_{Z_0}| = \kappa$ and so there is $y_0 \in D_{Z_0} - Z_0$. Hence $Z_0 \cup \{y_0\} \in S$ is the required proper extension of Z_0 . ■

Now let \mathfrak{A} be a structure and let $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{A}}$ be the language for \mathfrak{A} . Let $\mu(\mathfrak{A})$ be the number of symbols in $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{A}}$. We shall say that \mathfrak{A} is *good* if whenever \mathfrak{B} is a substructure of \mathfrak{A} closed under the \mathfrak{A} -denotations of all \mathcal{L} -terms, then $\mathfrak{B} < \mathfrak{A}$.

Fact. Each structure \mathfrak{A} has a good expansion \mathfrak{B} for which $\mu(\mathfrak{A}) = \mu(\mathfrak{B})$. (\mathfrak{B} may be obtained by expanding \mathfrak{A} to a Skolem structure.)

Lemma 8. Suppose that κ is measurable. Let $\mathfrak{A} = \langle A, R, \dots \rangle$ be a structure with $\mu(\mathfrak{A}) = \aleph_0$, $R \subseteq A$, $|A| = \kappa$ and $|R| < \kappa$. Then \mathfrak{A} has an elementary substructure $\mathfrak{B} = \langle B, S, \dots \rangle$ with $|B| = \kappa$ and $|S| \leq \aleph_0$.

Proof. We may assume without loss of generality that \mathfrak{A} is good. Let $|R| = \beta < \kappa$. Choose a linear ordering $<$ of A and an element $a \in A - R$. Let T be the set of all \mathcal{L} -terms, and let

$$I = (R \cup \{a\})^T.$$

Since $|T| = \aleph_0$, $|R| = \beta$, and κ is strongly inaccessible, we have $|I| = \beta^{\aleph_0} < \kappa$.

Now define a partition $\{C_i : i \in I\}$ of $\mathbf{Fin}(A)$ as follows. Call a term $t \in T$ an n -term if all its free variables are among v_0, \dots, v_n , so enabling t to be written as $t(v_0, \dots, v_n)$. Let

$$x = \{a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}\} \in \mathbf{Fin}(A)$$

with $a_0 < \dots < a_{n-1}$. Then we put $x \in C_i$ where $i \in I$ is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} i(t) &= a \text{ if } t \text{ is not an } n\text{-term or } t \text{ is an } n\text{-term and } t^{\mathfrak{A}}(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}) \notin R \\ i(t) &= u \text{ if } t \text{ is an } n\text{-term and } t^{\mathfrak{A}}(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}) \notin R. \end{aligned}$$

Since κ is a Ramsey cardinal, there is a subset $X \subseteq A$ of cardinality κ and elements $i_1, i_2, \dots \in I$ such that

$$X^{[n]} \subseteq C_{i_n}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Let B be the closure of X under the denotations in \mathfrak{A} of all \mathcal{L} -terms, and let $\mathfrak{B} = \langle B, S, \dots \rangle$ be the restriction of \mathfrak{A} to \mathfrak{B} . Then $|B| = \kappa$ and since \mathfrak{A} is good, $\mathfrak{B} < \mathfrak{A}$. It remains to show that $|S| \leq \aleph_0$.

Now each $b \in S$ is of the form

$$b = t^{\mathfrak{A}}(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1})$$

for some $t \in T$ and some $x_0 < \dots < x_{n-1} \in X$. Since

$$\{x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}\} \in X^{[n]} \subseteq C_{i_n},$$

we have $i_n(t) = b$. Hence

$$b \in \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \text{range}(i_n)$$

and so

$$S \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \text{range}(i_n).$$

Thus $|S| \leq \aleph_0$. $|T| = \aleph_0$. ■

We conclude with

Theorem 8. (Gaifman-Rowbottom). If a measurable cardinal exists, then $\mathbf{P}_\omega \cap L$ is countable, in particular, there are only countably many constructible real numbers.

Proof. Suppose given a measurable cardinal κ . Let $R = \mathbf{P}_\omega \cap L$; then $R \subseteq L_\kappa$. Consider the structure $\mathfrak{A} = \langle L_\kappa, \in, R \rangle$. We have $|L_\kappa| = \kappa$, and $|R| \leq 2^{\aleph_0} < \kappa$. Hence, by Lemma 8, there is a structure $\mathfrak{B} = \langle B, \in, S \rangle$ such that $\mathfrak{B} \prec \mathfrak{A}$, $|B| = \kappa$ and $|S| \leq \aleph_0$. Then $\langle B, \in \rangle \equiv \langle L_\kappa, \in \rangle$, and so there is a unique ξ for which there is an isomorphism f of $\langle B, \in \rangle$ onto $\langle L_\xi, \in \rangle$. Now we have

$$|\xi| = |L_\xi| = |B| = \kappa,$$

whence $\xi \geq \kappa$. But every ordinal of $\langle B, \in \rangle$ is an ordinal of $\langle L_\kappa, \in \rangle$ (the two structures being isomorphic), so the ordinals of the former have order type $\leq \kappa$. It follows that $\xi \leq \kappa$, so that $\xi = \kappa$, whence

$$f: \langle B, \in \rangle \cong \langle L_\kappa, \in \rangle.$$

Now let P be a unary predicate symbol; take $P^{\mathfrak{A}} = R$ and $P^{\mathfrak{B}} = S$. Since the formula $x \in \omega$ is absolute, we have

$$\mathfrak{A} \models \forall x [P(x) \leftrightarrow \forall y (y \in x \rightarrow y \in \omega)],$$

whence

$$\mathfrak{B} \models \forall x [P(x) \leftrightarrow \forall y (y \in x \rightarrow y \in \omega)].$$

Therefore, if $b \in b$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} b \in S &\Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{B} \models \forall y (y \in b \rightarrow y \in \omega) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \langle L_\kappa, \in \rangle \models \forall y (y \in f(b) \rightarrow y \in \omega) \\ &\Leftrightarrow f(b) \in R. \end{aligned}$$

Accordingly f carries S onto R , whence

$$|\mathbf{P}_\omega \cap L| = |R| \leq |S| \leq \aleph_0$$

as required. ■