

## UNIVERSAL COMPLETE BOOLEAN ALGEBRAS AND CARDINAL COLLAPSING

by J. L. BELL in London (Great Britain)

Let  $\lambda$  be an infinite cardinal and let  $A, B$  be Boolean algebras. A homomorphism  $h: A \rightarrow B$  is said to be  $\lambda$ -complete if whenever  $X$  is a subset of  $A$  of cardinality  $\leq \lambda$  such that the join  $\bigvee X$  of  $X$  exists in  $A$ , then  $\bigvee h[X]$  exists in  $B$  and is equal to  $h(\bigvee X)$ . If  $\kappa$  is an infinite cardinal,  $B$  is said to be  $(\kappa, \lambda)$ -universal if for each Boolean algebra  $A$  of cardinality  $\leq \kappa$  there is a  $\lambda$ -complete monomorphism (i.e. one-one homomorphism) of  $A$  into  $B$ .

Our objective in this paper is to investigate, for complete Boolean algebras  $B$ , the relationship between  $(\kappa, \lambda)$ -universality of  $B$  and the collapsing of cardinals to countable ordinals in the  $B$ -extension of the universe of sets. We assume familiarity with the technique of Boolean-valued models of set theory, as presented, e.g., in JECH [1]. For the theory of Boolean algebras, see SIKORSKI [2].

For each set  $X$ , we write  $|X|$  for the cardinality of  $X$ . The symbols  $\xi, \eta$  will always denote ordinals, while  $\alpha, \beta, \kappa, \lambda$  will be used for cardinals. If  $A$  is a Boolean algebra, we write  $0_A$  and  $1_A$  for the least and greatest elements of  $A$ , respectively. If  $x, y \in A$ , then  $x^*$ ,  $x \vee y$ ,  $x \wedge y$  denote the complement of  $x$ , and the join and meet of  $x$  and  $y$ , respectively. A subset  $X$  of  $A$  is called an *antichain* in  $A$  if  $0_A \notin X$  and  $x \wedge y = 0_A$  for any distinct  $x, y \in X$ .

Now let  $B$  be a fixed infinite complete Boolean algebra. As usual, we write  $V^{(B)}$  for the  $B$ -extension of the universe  $V$  of sets and, for each sentence  $\sigma$  of the language  $L^{(B)}$  for  $V^{(B)}$  we write  $\|\sigma\|$  for the  $B$ -value of  $\sigma$  in  $V^{(B)}$ . We also write  $V^{(B)} \vDash \sigma$  if  $\|\sigma\| = 1_B$ . We recall that there is a canonical mapping  $x \mapsto \hat{x}$  of  $V$  into  $V^{(B)}$ . We shall also need the following two facts (JECH [1], Lemmas 49 and 50):

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $\{x_\xi: \xi < \lambda\}$  be an antichain in  $B$ , and let  $\{t_\xi: \xi < \lambda\} \subseteq V^{(B)}$ . Then there is  $t \in V^{(B)}$  such that  $x_\xi \leq \|t = t_\xi\|$  for all  $\xi < \lambda$ .*

**Lemma 2.** *For each formula  $\varphi(v)$  of  $L^{(B)}$  containing at most the variable  $v$  free, there is  $t \in V^{(B)}$  such that  $\|\exists v \varphi(v)\| = \|\varphi(t)\|$ .*

Our first result gives a necessary and sufficient condition for a given cardinal to be collapsed to a countable ordinal in  $V^{(B)}$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\kappa \geq \aleph_0$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $V^{(B)} \vDash (\hat{\kappa} \text{ is countable})$ ;
- (ii) *there is a subset  $\{b_{m\xi}: m \in \omega, \xi < \kappa\} \subseteq B$  such that  $\bigvee_{m \in \omega} b_{m\xi} = 1_B$  for all  $\xi < \kappa$  and  $\{b_{m\xi}: \xi < \kappa\}$  is an antichain for each  $m \in \omega$ .*

**Proof.** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Suppose (i) is satisfied. Then we have

$$V^{(B)} \vDash \exists f [f \text{ is a map of } \hat{\omega} \text{ onto } \hat{\kappa}].$$

Hence, by Lemma 2, there is  $f \in V^{(B)}$  such that

$$(1) \quad V^{(B)} \vDash (f \text{ is a map of } \hat{\omega} \text{ onto } \hat{\kappa}).$$

Put  $b_{m\xi} = \|f(\hat{m}) = \xi\|$  for  $m \in \omega$ ,  $\xi < \kappa$ . Then if  $\xi \neq \eta < \kappa$ ,

$$b_{m\xi} \wedge b_{m\eta} = \|f(\hat{m}) = \xi \wedge f(\hat{m}) = \eta\| \leq \|\xi - \eta\| = 0_B,$$

and, for  $\xi < \kappa$ ,

$$\bigvee_{m \in \omega} b_{m\xi} = \bigvee_{m \in \omega} \|f(\hat{m}) = \xi\| = \|\exists x \in \hat{\omega} [f(x) = \xi]\| = 1_B$$

by (1). Thus  $\{b_{m\xi} : m \in \omega, \xi < \kappa\}$  satisfies (ii).

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Assume (ii), and define  $f \in V^{(B)}$  by  $\text{dom}(f) = \{\langle \hat{m}, \xi \rangle^{(B)} : m \in \omega, \xi < \kappa\}$  (where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle^{(B)}$  is the "ordered pair in  $V^{(B)}$ ") and, for  $m \in \omega$ ,  $\xi < \kappa$ ,

$$f(\langle \hat{m}, \xi \rangle^{(B)}) = b_{m\xi}.$$

Using the fact that  $\{b_{m\xi} : \xi < \kappa\}$  is an antichain, it follows easily that

$$V^{(B)} \vDash (f \text{ is a function such that } \text{Domain}(f) \subseteq \hat{\omega} \text{ and } \text{Range}(f) \subseteq \hat{\kappa}).$$

Also, for each  $\xi < \kappa$  we have

$$\|\exists x \in \hat{\omega} [f(x) = \xi]\| = \bigvee_{m \in \omega} \|f(\hat{m}) = \xi\| = \bigvee_{m \in \omega} b_{m\xi} = 1_B.$$

It follows that  $V^{(B)} \vDash (\hat{\kappa} \subseteq \text{Range}(f))$  and so

$$V^{(B)} \vDash (\hat{\kappa} \text{ is countable}).$$

This completes the proof.

Our next result relates  $(\kappa, \lambda)$ -universality of  $B$  to the collapsing of  $\kappa$  to a countable ordinal in  $V^{(B)}$ . In the proof we employ a technique originally introduced by КРИКЕ (cf. JECH [1], Theorem 40) in order to show that the collapsing  $(\aleph_0, 2^\kappa)$ -algebra is  $(\kappa, \kappa)$ -universal.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\kappa, \lambda \geq \aleph_0$  satisfy  $\kappa^\lambda = \kappa$  (thus  $\lambda < \kappa$ ). Then conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 1 are each equivalent to*

(iii)  $B$  is  $(\kappa, \lambda)$ -universal.

**Proof.** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). Assume (i); then, by Theorem 1, (ii) also holds. Let  $A$  be a Boolean algebra of cardinality  $\alpha \leq \kappa$ . If  $\alpha$  is finite, it is well-known that  $A$  is isomorphic to a subalgebra of  $B$ , and the isomorphism is obviously a  $\lambda$ -complete monomorphism of  $A$  into  $B$ . Thus we may assume that  $\alpha$  is infinite. Let  $S$  be the family of all subsets of  $A$  of cardinality  $\leq \lambda$ . Then we have

$$|S| \leq \sum_{\beta \leq \lambda} \alpha^\beta \leq \lambda \cdot \kappa^\lambda = \kappa.$$

Since  $V^{(B)} \vDash (\hat{\kappa} \text{ is countable})$ , it follows that

$$V^{(B)} \vDash (\hat{S} \text{ is countable}).$$

Next, it follows immediately from (ii) that  $B$  contains an antichain  $\{x_\xi : \xi < \alpha\}$  of cardinality  $\alpha$ . By adjoining  $(\bigvee x_\xi)^*$ , if necessary, we may assume without loss of generality that  $\bigvee x_\xi = 1_B$ . Let  $\{a_\xi : \xi < \alpha\}$  enumerate the non-zero members of  $A$ . By Lemma 1, there is  $b \in V^{(B)}$  such that  $x_\xi \leq \|b = \hat{a}_\xi\|$  for all  $\xi < \alpha$ . Hence

$$\|b \in \hat{A}\| \geq \bigvee_{\xi < \alpha} \|b = \hat{a}_\xi\| \geq \bigvee_{\xi < \lambda} x_\xi = 1_B.$$

It is easily verified that  $V^{(B)} \vDash (A \text{ is a Boolean algebra})$ . Moreover, we have, for each  $\xi < \kappa$ ,

$$x_\xi \leq \|b = \hat{a}_\xi\| = \|b = \hat{a}_\xi\| \wedge \|\hat{a}_\xi \neq \hat{0}_A\| \leq \|b \neq \hat{0}_A\| = \|b \neq 0_A\|$$

so that  $1_B = \bigvee_{\xi < \kappa} x_\xi \leq \|b \neq 0_A\|$ . Since  $V^{(B)} \vDash (\text{Axiom of Choice})$ , it follows that

$$V^{(B)} \vDash (\text{RASIOWA-SIKORSKI Lemma}),$$

and hence

$$V^{(B)} \vDash \exists U (U \text{ is an } \hat{S}\text{-complete ultrafilter in } A \text{ and } b \in U).$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2, there is  $U \in V^{(B)}$  such that

$$(1) \quad V^{(B)} \vDash (U \text{ is an } \hat{S}\text{-complete ultrafilter in } A \text{ and } b \in U).$$

Now we define  $h: A \rightarrow B$  by

$$h(a) = \|\hat{a} \in U\|$$

for  $a \in A$ . It is easy to verify that  $h$  is a homomorphism of  $A$  into  $B$ . To see that  $h$  is  $\lambda$ -complete, observe that, if  $X \in S$  and  $a = \bigvee X$  in  $A$ , then  $\|\hat{a} = \bigvee \hat{X}\| = 1_B$ , so that, using (1),

$$h(a) = \|\hat{a} \in U\| = \|\bigvee \hat{X} \in U\| = \|\exists x \in \hat{X} (x \in U)\| = \bigvee_{x \in X} \|\hat{x} \in U\| = \bigvee_{x \in X} h(x).$$

And finally,  $h$  is one-one, because if  $0_A \neq a \in A$ , then  $a = a_\xi$  for some  $\xi < \kappa$ , so that

$$h(a) = \|\hat{a}_\xi \in U\| \geq \|b \in U\| \wedge \|\hat{a}_\xi = b\| = \|\hat{a}_\xi = b\| \geq x_\xi \neq 0_B.$$

This proves (iii).

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Assume (iii), and let  $A$  be the collapsing  $(\aleph_0, \kappa)$ -algebra, i.e. the regular open algebra of the product space  $\kappa^\omega$ , where  $\kappa$  has been assigned the discrete topology. Let  $A'$  be the countably complete subalgebra of  $A$  generated by the elements  $a_{m\xi} = \{g \in \kappa^\omega : g(m) = \xi\}$  for  $m \in \omega, \xi < \kappa$ . Then  $\kappa \leq |A'| \leq \kappa^{\aleph_0} \leq \kappa^\lambda = \kappa$ . By (iii), there is a  $\lambda$ -complete monomorphism  $h$  of  $A'$  into  $B$ . It is easy to verify that, in  $A'$ ,  $\bigvee_{m \in \omega} a_{m\xi} = 1_{A'}$  and  $\{a_{m\xi} : \xi < \kappa\}$  is an antichain in  $A'$  for each  $m \in \omega$ . Therefore, if we set  $b_{m\xi} = h(a_{m\xi})$  for each  $m \in \omega, \xi < \kappa$ , then  $\{b_{m\xi} : m \in \omega, \xi < \kappa\}$  is a subset of  $B$  satisfying (ii).

This completes the proof.

From Theorem 2 we immediately infer:

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $\kappa \geq \aleph_0$  be such that  $\kappa^{\aleph_0} = \kappa$ . Then, if  $B$  is  $(\kappa, \aleph_0)$ -universal, it is  $(\kappa, \lambda)$ -universal for any  $\lambda$  such that  $\kappa^\lambda = \kappa$ . (In particular, if  $\kappa$  is inaccessible, and  $B$  is  $(\kappa, \aleph_0)$ -universal, then  $B$  is  $(\kappa, \lambda)$ -universal for all  $\lambda < \kappa$ .)*

Assuming the generalized continuum hypothesis (GCH), Theorem 2 also yields the following characterization of the collapsing of a give successor cardinal to a countable ordinal.

**Corollary 2 (GCH).** *Let  $\lambda \geq \aleph_0$  and let  $\kappa = \lambda^+$ . The the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $V^{(B)} \vDash (\hat{\kappa} \text{ is countable})$ ;
- (ii)  $B$  is  $(\kappa, \aleph_0)$ -universal.

**Proof.** Assuming GCH,  $\kappa = 2^\lambda$  so that  $\kappa^{\aleph_0} = 2^{\lambda^{\aleph_0}} = 2^\lambda = \kappa$  and Theorem 2 applies.

I do not know whether the GCH is essential for Corollary 2 to hold.

**References**

- [1] JECH, T., Lecture notes on set theory. Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Berlin 1971.
- [2] SIKORSKI, R., Boolean Algebras. Berlin 1960.

(Eingegangen am 28. Januar 1975)